

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERGE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/676,914	10/01/2003	Ramesh Dandala	2003-017	8284
7590 04/12/2006			EXAMINER	
Jay R Akhave			BERCH, MARK L	
845 Pomello Di	r			
Claremont, CA 91711			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1624	
			D. 1 TT 1 ( 1 1 T D. 0 1 1 0 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	_

DATE MAILED: 04/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/676,914	DANDALA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mark L. Berch	1624	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
<ul> <li>1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 F</li> <li>2a) ⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ Thi</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under</li> </ul>	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 3-11 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-6 and 8-11 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposite and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	cepted or b) objected to by the le drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objection is required if the drawing(s) is objection.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal F  6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/676,914

Art Unit: 1624

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The addition to claim 7 appears to be new matter. Where in the specification is that particular trimethyl silyl group mentioned?

The traverse is unpersuasive. This particular protecting group is nowhere mentioned. Applicants point to specification, page 9, line 12, which has "silyl group", and original claim 1, which had "a silyl group". But that does not describe this particular one. Silyl is SiH<sub>3</sub>, the group formed by the removal of a H from Silane, SiH<sub>4</sub> and is a term analogous to methyl, CH<sub>3</sub>. If the term is understood to be any substituted silyl group, then there is no particular reason to select this one. There are many substituted silyl groups which are used as protecting groups for carboxyl. Just as an example, USP 5523400 lists trimethylsilyl,

Application/Control Number: 10/676,914

Art Unit: 1624

examples of such protecting groups, and there are others as well. The fact that this trimethyl silyl group falls within the broad ambit of "silyl" is not sufficient. Applicants must show that they possessed that particular choice. See *In re Rushig*, 154 USPQ 118; *Fields v. Connover*, 170 USPQ 276, 280; *Watson v. Bersworth*, 116 USPQ 445; *Flynn v. Eardley*, 178 USPQ 288; *Ex parte Westfall*, 223 USPQ 631; *In re Prutton*, 96 USPQ 151; *In re Honn*, 150 USPQ 652; *In re Fried*, 136 USPQ 429. The fact that the choice falls within the broader genus is not enough.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark L. Berch whose telephone number is 571-272-0663.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:15 - 3:45.

Application/Control Number: 10/676,914 Page 4

Art Unit: 1624

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson can be reached on (571)272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark L. Berch Primary Examiner Art Unit 1624

4/10/06